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Please rate presentations according to the categories below, with scores ranging from 1 to 5, 5 being excellent.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Scoring Criteria** | **Score (out of 5)** |
| **Organization** | Information is presented in a **logical sequence**. |  |
| **Content**  **(30 points)** | **Introduction** is attention-getting, lays out the problem well, and establishes a framework for the rest of the presentation. |  |
| **Methods** are well-defined, using appropriate and accurate technical language and demonstrating solid knowledge of the approaches used. Alternatives are discussed. |  |
| **Figures** describing results are professional-quality and easy to follow. |  |
| **Results** contain an appropriate amount of material to convey the key findings, and are points made reflect well their relative importance. |  |
| There is a thoughtful **discussion/conclusion** summarizing the presentation and putting the results in a larger context. |  |
| **Presentation**  **(40 points)** | Speakers maintain good eye contact with the audience and use a clear, audible voice. Delivery is poised, controlled, and smooth. |  |
| Slides are generally well-designed, informative, effective, and not distracting. |  |
| Length of presentation is within the assigned time limit (15 minutes). |  |
| **Score** | **Total Points** |  |